App F IX-4]

1924.

File No. 171-24

Previous References (Drugs)
Drug 665-19-12-18
19

Serial Nos. 167

Later References
21-27-1-2-

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for December 1925.

SUBJECT

Solicits sanction to a revised estimate for Rs 17 008 resulting in an excess expenditure of Rs 8997 from the interest earning of the Govt Drug Fund for improvements to the Sri Ang accy a Swamy temple at Kyaukmahalle, Kortagere Sub Valley

G.O Dr-649-51
Dated 26.11.25

To the Drug Comr
" Chief Engr.
" Comptr

Sanctioned

[signature]

G. R. S. III—1] 1924-25

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mur)

NOTES.

File No. 171-24

FILE HEADING.

Improvements to the Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple at Kyamenahally - Kollegal Taluk.

From The Chief Engineer of Mysore

No. 9031 Dated 17.12.24 Received 19.12.24.

Diary No. 987	Enclos. 7 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Solicits early sanction to a revised estimate for Rs 17008 resulting in an excess expr of Rs 8,929 from the Net Earnings of the fin year.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In GO No 4476-81 Mur 666-19-10 dt 15.12.20 an estimate for Rs 8,079 was sanctd for the improvements of the temple and a direction was added that a further revision of the estimate be furnished urgently. The Chief Engr now says that in deference to the wishes of the Dharmadarsis of the temple, the estimate has been revised providing to stone in mortar instead of brick in mud, and to stone pillars in front the verandah

The Com: Contl: the order has been subl: in another Contl: letter of the 22nd inst. Put up for perusal

Sd 10/1/25

NOTES—First Sheet.

G R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 171 of 1924

Serial No

has been pressing for payt. for work done in accordance with the revised estimate.

2 The revised estimate as sanctioned by the Chief Engrs office is for Rs 17,008 ie Rs 8929 in excess of the last Estimate ie the Excess Expr. now req? is higher than the Estimate Amt. itself. The Executive Engr? ought not to have executed work according to the revised estimate which has not received the Sanction of Govt. merely because the Dharmadarsi wished it.

17008
8079
8929

Quite so.

3 The Excess Amt 8929 Rs is to be an Amt to be charged to the Inst. Expenses of the Govt Mur Fd which had Rs 35378-15-9 at the end of Augt 24. Out of this 10,000 Rs has been set apart for repairs of Mur Insts & has already been exhausted. Nearly 9000 Rs out of abt 25,000 Rs for one single institution seems too much.

4 The revised estimate now rec? by the Chief? is for orders.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

10/1/25

987 3

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inam File No. 171 of 19 Uq

Serial No

5 The work should not have been executed without the revised Estimate being sanctioned when it was expressly stated in the previous order that no occasion should be given for revising the Estimate. Explanation should be called for from the Executive Engineer and the Dy Com^r. ~~why it was done.~~ But the work has to be paid for. Rs 2000 may perhaps be paid from the temple funds and the rest from the Interest-Earnings of the General Muzrai Fund as proposed.

10.1.15.

Rec^d

10.1.15.

New Regd
11 Mj

243/141

6 The revision of the Estimate entails an additional charge of Rs 8500 nearly and it is proposed to provide the amount from the current year's allotment. The revised Estimate comes to Rupees Seventy thousand.

7 & 8 The Commissioner's ~~report~~ order on the report of the Executive Engineer in charge of the work has been already carried out in accordance with the revised Estimate and the Engineer's letter of the 8th instant requesting for charges on the work in accordance with the revised Estimate.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 171 of 19 24

Serial No

Draft

15-1-25

2 To the Chief Engineer. No. 104 dt. 16.1.25. Declines sanction to the revised estimate as it entails an additional cost of Rs. 89 and requests him to ascertain the extent to which work has already been carried out in accordance with the revised estimates to declare the explanation of the officers responsible for carrying out the work without obtaining previous sanction of Govt thereon.

3 To the Mayrai Commr. No. 104 dt. 16.1.25.

D.O. No. 32 of 21-3-25 to Mr Karne states that if the temple has got sufficient funds at its credit, there will be no difficulty in sanctioning the revised estimate for Rs 17008.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

1737

and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 171 of 1925

Serial No.

From the Ch: Engr., letter no. 152/1686/57 dated 6-8-25 states, with refce to Sl 2, that an outlay of Rs 6800 has been incurred upto date against the sanctd estimate for Rs 8079 and that as directed by the Member personally, the Ex: Engr is recasting the revised estimate & that it will be subd when recd from him.

2. As the sanctd estimate is not likely to be exceeded, the question of the Ex: Engr not having called for revised estimate in Sl 2 for exceeding the sanctd estimate is not necessary.

3. On approval, the estimate is to be recasted by the Ex: Engr.

Sd/- 7/8/25

7/8/25

8.8.25

181 member)

189/25

11 Jrs by 18/8

19.8.25

19.8.25

5 From the Mun: Comr., Memo no. C. 1172 of 23-8 dated 27-8-25.

Forwards copy of his letter no. C. 1172 of 23-8 & 27-8-25 to the Ch: Engr in the matter of the revised estimate for the reconstruction of the Sri Kyamanakki Anjaneyaswami temple.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No. of 19

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Mag. File No. 171 of 1924.

Serial No

12. The revised estimate sent to the Chf. Engr. for the following is for Rs 17,116, which is classified under 3 heads

1. Work done till now Rs 7749
2. Work to be immediately done 2017
3. Work to be taken up when funds permit 6350

Rs 17,116

13. The D C wants the revised estimate to be sanctioned and the Mag: Collr. proceeds [illegible] Deducting the establt, tools & plant charges the estimate will be reduced to Rs 14,560 Deducting Rs 8079 already placed at the disposal of the P W D, the amount to be provided comes to Rs 7481. Rs 3000 is proposed to be met out of the interest on the Govt. Mag. Fund & the balance of Rs 4481 from the funds at the credit of the irrigation

5560
8079
7481

14. [illegible] the credit in the provincial funds from the [illegible]

Rs 4481

15. If my items (1) and (2), are sanctioned as recommended by the Executive Engineer, the whole estimate can be easily met from the funds of the Pattukottai

31.8.25.

Rev Prof

16. Submitted for orders.

Govt may be requested

18.9.25.

16/9

ST

Note--Contn Sheet

and Revenue Secretariat

Muz File No. 171 of 1924.

Serial No

7. Reminder draft to Chief Engr is put up as per orders of the Secy in the preceding page—

Sd - [illegible]
11.9.25

18 June
25.9.25.

6 From the Chief Engr., letter no. 4067 dt 10-10-25

Forwards a revised estimate for Rs 17,116 for the reconstruction of the temple of Sri Anjaneyaswami at Hyamanahalli; and states that both the Muz: Comr & the Dy: Comr are of opinion that it may be sanctd

[illegible]

for Rs 800 & sanctd in G.O.No. 676-8/ Muz 666-9 10 [illegible] for the reconstruction of [illegible]

[illegible]

from—

29. As [illegible]

Note—Contn Sheet

and Revenue Secretariat

Mun. File No. 171 of 1924

Serial No

work to be done next financial year, the Engineer of the Municipality suggested to them to recommend for sanction their revised estimate for Rs 17,481, by omitting therefrom the Watch & Ward & Plant charges.

21. The cost of the reduced estimate of Rs 15,560 is proposed to be met as follows:—

Amount already sanctioned	Rs 8019
From the interest earnings of the Special Municipal Fund	Rs 3000
From the Municipal Fund	Rs 4481
Total	Rs 15560

22. In view of the fact that this Municipality has contributed Rs 3000 towards the Special Drainage Fund, a contribution of Rs 3000 from its interest earnings is recommended. It is a point for consideration whether the Rs 3000 should be given out of the recurring, but apart from the interest earnings of the Fund for which the Municipality is entitled to claim it.

23. Orders of Government as to whether the recommendation may be sanctioned.

24. The Municipal Commissioner recommends that the Council may be moved to sanction the grant of Rs 3000 towards the cost of the additional work.

Rev. Secy.

529/29.10.25

Rs 10000

29.10.25.

Engr. Secy. 1st Member 29.10.25. 29/10/25

Contn Sheet

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contd. sheet

nnd Revenue
cretariat

File No 171 of 1924.

Serial No

7. Draft & Sd C.S.

4/11/25 5/11/25

28. Fair draft as revised

7 11 25

29. Typed draft is submitted-

10/11/25

10 11 25

Rev-Sec) 30 (87 months)

358/16 11

14 11 25

16 11 25.

31. Dept opns

32. As the Estimate Exceeds Rs 10,000, this may be Submitted for Govt. approval also

33 Diwan)

16/11

34)

17 11 25

18-11

35) Some departments

19.11.25. 19 11

36

7 To Mus Comms, Ch Engr & Comptr
No 649-51 dt 26-11-25 - Sanction
the Estimate is issued.

Note—Contn Sheet

DE
REC'D - 17 NOV 1925
B 3710.

27424

No 9031
7

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,
Dated Bangalore. 17-12-1924.

FROM **John Bhore, Esq, A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,**
~~XXXXXXXXXXXXX~~,
f Engineer of Mysore Bangalore.

18 DEC 1924

To

K. V. Anantharaman, Esq., B.A.,
Sevetary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to G.O.4476-8/Muz 666-19-10 dated the 15th February 1922 sanctioning the revised estimate amounting to Rs 8,079 for effecting certain improvements to the Sri-Anjaneyaswam Temple at Kyamenhalli in the Koratagere Sub Taluk with the observation that occasion for a further revision of the estimate should be guarded against, I have the honour to inform you that the Dharmadarsis of the temple in question and the people of the place were not in favour of the walls and pillars of the Garbagudi and Navaranga of the temple being constructed in brick in mud as provided for in the sanctioned estimate. In order to make the temple a permanent structure they desire that the walls thereof should be built of stone in mortar and with stone pillars. The Dharmadarsis of the temple were seriously complaining of the insufficiency of accommodation and the unsuitability of the design for such an important institution. In his report accompanying the revised estimate the Executive Engineer states that the Dharmadarsis of the temple were not consulted as to the actual requirements before the estimate was recommended for sanction.

3. For reasons given above, the Executive Engineer at the

request of the Deputy Commissioner, got up a modified estimate amount to Rs 17,160. This, as scrutinised in this office, reduces itself to Rs 17,008, and is herewith forwarded for favour of sanction.

4. The report by the Executive Engineer explains the necessity for a further revision of the estimate.

5. The excess amount required over the sanctioned revised estimate is (Rs 17008-8079)=Rs 8929. As regards funds required to cover this excess the Muzrai Commissioner while recommending sanction to the revised estimate proposes, that as the institution has now a cash balance of only Rs 2655-14-3, and in view of the fact that the instituion is an important one, and has contributed Rs 25000 towards the formation of the General Muzrai Fund, the excess may be met from the interest earnings of the General Muzrai Fund.

As the work, as per provision made in the revised estimate now forwarded, has been progressing rapidly, and as the contractor is reported t be pressing for payment, the favour of very early sanction to the revised estimate is requested.

The sanctioned estimate and plans are also herewith forwarded.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

16-12-26

Chief Engineer of Mysore

SUBJECT ..... Report

Diary No. of letter replied to 989

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Section Mug

File No. 171-24

Serial No.

No. 1046

Secret

Date of issue 16-1-25

Signed by Asst T R.

To

The Chief Engr ?

Mysore

Sir,

With reference to
your letter no 9031 &
17-12-24 submitting for
sanction a revised estimate
for Rs 17008 as against
the previous revised estimate
for Rs 8079 for Extending
certain minor works &
the Srirangapatna Bridge

over.

Complete

KAC 16-1

temple at Kyaukse,
I am directed to state that
the revised estimate entailing
an addl. cost of nearly 8,900 Rs
cannot be sanctd. as it is not
possible to provide this amt.
from the current year's allotment.

I am to request you
to kindly ascertain the extent
to which work has been already
carried out in accordance
with the revised estimate
and also to submit the
Explns of the Officers responsible
for carrying out the work
~~in accordance with the~~
~~revised~~ in accordance
therewith without obtaining
previous sanction thereto. Such explns together with
any remarks
I have &c

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

15/1/25

Secretary

WD 0563—GPD—2,500—27 7 22

15/1/25

1047/161·25

for Secy to Govt

Sl.no.3

D. No. 32

21st March 5

Dear Mr. Karve,

With regard to the revised estimate for the Anjaneyaswami temple at Kyamenahalli about which you spoke to me the other day, I find from a reference to the file that the revised estimate which entails an extra cost of Rs. 8 thousand and odd was not sanctioned as the amount available at the credit of the temple was said to be only Rs.2,650. If the institution has obtained additional funds since the estimate was sent up and has got sufficient amount at its credit to meet the cost of the estimate, there will be no difficulty in sanctioning it.

Yours sincerely,

(Sd.) K. R. Srinivasiengar

ag nst the revi

Execut

th

WD 1212—GPB—1920 22-12-24

No. 15216/1

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore,....6....May.....1925.

Sl no 4



JOHN BHORE, Esq., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Improvements to Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Kyamenhalli.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1046/Muz.171-24-2 dated the 16th January 1925, calling for certain particulars in connection with the revised estimate for improving the Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Kyamenhalli in the Koratagere Sub Taluk, I have the honour to inform you that it is reported by the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division, that an outlay of Rs 6,800 has been incurred up to date against the revised estimate for the work sanctioned for Rs 8,079.

To avoid further deterioration of the work done so far, the Executive Engineer has ordered the roofing of the Garbhagudi and the Navaranga.

2. The Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division, had a personal discussion with the First Member of Council on the subject. A copy of the D.O. No.32 dated the 21st March 1925 sent as a result of the discussion is herein enclosed for information. As personally ordered by the Member, the revised estimate returned by you is being recast and the revised estimate when received will be submitted to you for sanction.

3. It is presumed that the explanation of the officer called for is now not necessary especially when the sanctioned amount is not exceeded.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

5-5-25

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

D.O. letter No.32 dated the 21st March 1925 from the First Member of Council to the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division, Tumkur.

With regard to the revised estimate for the Anjaneyaswami Temple at Kyamenahalli about which you spoke to me the other day, I find from a reference to the file that the revised estimate which entails an extra cost of Rs 8,000 and odd was not sanctioned as the amount available at the credit of the temple was said to be only Rs 2,650. If the Institution has obtained additional funds since the estimate was sent up and has got sufficient amount at its credit to meet the cost of the estimate, there will be no difficulty in sanctioning it.

"True copy"

S.T. Lakshmana Rao

For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

V.W.S.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 26th August 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

S.L.S

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Secretary's memo No.1047 dated 16th January 1925 forwarding a copy of his letter No.1046/Muz.171-24-3 dated 16th January 1925 addressed to me in the matter of the revised

1. Work to be tackled now	Rs. 7740/-	estimate for reconstruct-
2. Work necessary to be immediately done	2517/-	ion of the temple of the Sri
3. Work that could be taken up when funds permit.	5859	Ramaswamy at Kyamena-
	Rs. 17,116/-	halli, Koratagere Sub Taluk

I have the honor to forward herewith a statement received with letter No.I.C..80/-of 24-25 dated 16th July 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner (copy enclosed) giving a classified abstract of the revised estimate for Rs.17,116 under the marginal noted three heads.

The Executive Engineer in charge is asked for a sum of Rs.---- 10,260 i.e., Rs.7740 + Rs.2517. But the Deputy Commissioner recommends the carrying out of the whole work at once, as there may cases be to have the work completed. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to intimate at what date he will be able to hand over possession of this land to the Executive Engineer No.17/Muz. dated 16th August 1925 from Government No.1078-7-2 preliminary, at the earliest date.

In view to the fact that the Muzrai Department is indebted ---- Rs.2,000/- to Mysore Treasury on account of the General Muzrai Fund I request that the Engineer estimate for the above works, the Deputy Commissioner may be kindly sanctioned up for a total amount of Rs.3000/- being met from the interest earnings of the General Muzrai Fund. If the above proposal is kindly sanctioned by Government the estimate will reduce itself from Rs.17,116/- to Rs.15,560/- as establishment, tools and plant charges will be omitted. As a sum of Rs.8079 has already been placed at the disposal of the E.W.D., the further amount required

required would be only Rs.7481 of which a sum of Rs.3000/- at least may be met from the interest earnings of General Muzrai Fund and the balance of Rs.4481/- from the institution funds. In case the above proposal is sanctioned by Government there will remain a sum of about Rs.500/- only at the credit of the institution --- which will be quite necessary to meet the current expenditure.

The connected file with the plan and estimate is enclosed.

09987

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) C.S.Balasundaram Iyer,

Muzrai Commissioner.

SECRETARIAT
28AUG1925
MUZRAI
R. No. 265

KJR. 772 of 23-1

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Revenue Secretary to Government for information with reference to his No.231 Muz.171-24 dated 20th August 1925.

Mur 171-24

C S Balasundaramayyar

Muzrai Commissioner.

KJR.

WD 1998—GPB—5,000—27-4-25

No. 4567
Enc 3 plans
2 Estimates
1 Sketch file.
6.

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore, 10th October 1925.

GEN. & REV. DEPT
13 OCT
MUZRAI

FROM

JOHN BHORE, Esq., A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

4?1
Revs 171-24-6

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Government, Bangalore.

ST
13/10

SIR

With reference to the concluding portion of paragraph 2 of this office letter No.15215 dated the 6th May 1925, I have the honor to forward herewith the revised estimate amounting to Rs.17,116/-, for reconstructing the temple of the Sri Anjaneyaswami at Kyamenahalli, Koratagere Sub-Taluk, in the Tumkur District.

2. The cost of works already carried out amounts to Rs.7,749 and works costing Rs.2,517/- are to be executed immediately to protect the works so far executed. These two items amount to Rs.10,266. But it is reported that the devotees are very eager to have the entire work completed once for all. The additional items of works proposed to be carried out amount to Rs.6,850/-, as per abstract prepared by the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division, enclosed. Both the Deputy Commissioner and the Muzrai Commissioner are of opinion that the entire work be completed.

3. The Muzrai Commissioner recommends that the establishment and tools and plant charges be omitted in this case as per recent Government Order and if Government approve the recommendation, the cost of the estimate reduces itself from Rs.17,116 to Rs.15,560. A sum of Rs.8,079 has already been placed at the disposal of this Department and the balance required will be only Rs.7,481. The

Muzrai Commissioner recommends that a sum of Rs.3,000 be met from the interest earnings of the general muzrai fund and the balance from the temple funds.

4. I request you will be so good as to communicate early sanction to the revised estimate.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

9.10.26

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

HRR/9th.

of letter No. 822 dated the 18th June 1925 from the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District

---

With reference to correspondence ending with Chief Engineer's No. 13277 dated 26th March 1925, regarding the revised estimate for Sree Anjaneyaswami Temple at Kyamenahalli, Koratagere Sub Taluk etc., I have the honor to forward a modified estimate together with an office note and a statement for favour of countersignature and transmission to the Muzrai Commissioner for favour of his countersignature & favour of -- transmission to the Chief Engineer for disposal.

The statement is only a classification of the revised estimate showing the cost of the revised estimate Col. 1 (2) Works carried out till end of March 25 Col. 2 (3) works required for bringing the building into some formation Col 3 & (4) works not immediately necessary and that can be postponed to be taken up when funds become available Col 4.

The works on several main heads as carried out Col. 2 amount to Rs. 7749/- and works absolutely necessary for bringing the building into some formation Col. 3 amounts to Rs. 2517/-. The total of these two cols amounts to Rs. 10266/- which is to be sanctioned now in liew of the - revised estimate of Rs. 17,116/-.

Works shown in Col 4 can be done in the future when funds become available. Kind reference to your D.O. No. 1064 dated 15th March 1925 is invited from which it can be seen that at the credit of the temple there is a sum of Rs. 45,520/- including the amount of Rs. 3326/- realised during the Jatra of this year. Or considering that a revised estimate for Rs. 8079/- was already sanctioned and this years realisations is Rs. 3326/- The total amount available in cash is Rs. 11,405/- which is less than -- Rs. 10266/- total of columns.

As per recent Government Order the establishment & tools and Plant charges are not to be included in the estimates & excluding this, works that can be post-poned for the part amount to Rs. 4555/- plus contingencies Rs. 250/- or Rs. 4800/-

The office note and the report that accompanied the revised estimate explain everything in detail. As navaranga with roofing has to be - completed early, I request that the estimate may kindly be countersigned at

" COPY "

For Deputy Commissioner,

Office Note.

KYAMENAHALLI TEMPLE AT KORATAGERE. TUMKUR DT&

1. G.O. No. 984-7/Muz. 300-17-4 dated 19-7-1919, sanctioning an estimate for Rs 5689/- for effecting certain improvements to the --- temple.

2. G.O. No. 1396-8/Muz. 665-19-7 dated 10-9-1921.
Approving the tender of Mr. Kothandaramiah of Maddageri amounting to Rs 7244/: and calling for revised estimate.

3. G.O. No. 4476-8/Muz.666-19-10 dated 15-2-1922 sanctioning a revised estimate for Rs 8079/- with orders to carry out the work under the supervision of the P. W. D.

4. Executive Engineer Camped at Holavanahalli from 16th to 18th December 1921 for making out the foundations etc., as per sanctioned plan, but the Dharmadarsies represented the insufficiency of --- space etc which was reported to the Superintending Engineer with -- this office No. 460 dated 22-12-1921.

The Superintending Engineer was again addressed in this office No. 649 dated 28-2-1922, regarding the deviations asked for by the Dharmadarsies.

Chief Engineer in his No. 7470 dated 8-4-22, passed final order to proceed with the work as per sanctioned plan and estimate.

During execution it was found that excavation for Garbhagudi -- could be made as the deviation being 0' x 8' and the Mooladevara pratima being 4½' long & fixed in a slanting position to the hind wall and this was reported in T.O. No. 280 dated 10-5-1922 to the Deputy Commissioner suggesting at the same time for the enlargement of the Gharbhagudi.

Government Order No. 997-9 dated 665-19-10 dated 18th August 1922 sanctioning the enlargement of the Garbhagudi and calling for a revised estimate.

The works was in progress as per sanctioned plan. The Dharmadarsies were seriously complaining of the insufficiency of the --- structure and unsuitability of the design and a strong protest ---

protest against the construction was made to the Dewan Saheb during his visit in August 1922. The Dewan Saheb was pleased to order stoppage of the work and called for a modified design according the requirements of the Dharmadarsies as the whole cost was to be met out from the funds of the Temple itself.

I. The then Executive Engineer inspected the temple in October 1922, and project costing Rs21,935/- was got up according to the requi-ments of the Dharmadarsies, and submitted to Government (through the Deputy Commissioner) in January 1923.

J. The Muzrai Commissioner in his No.C.1022/22-23 dated 1st March 1923, to the address of the Deputy Commissioner informed that a sum of Rs1000/- in addition to the original sanctioned estimate can be made available.

K. Inspection note dated 23-3-1923, by Mr. V.V.Narvee, deals with the further modification of the project.

L. Copy of the inspection note was sent to the Muzrai Department Commissioner vide this office No.1100 dated 28-4-23. In para 2 it is noted stated that the present proposed was accepted by the Dharmadarsies, work if approved will be resumed.

M. After long correspondance the Muzrai Commissioner in his letter No.C.1022/22-23 dated 23-6-23, called for a Consolidated modified estimate for items of works suggested in the inspection note dated 23-3-23, costing Rs11-000/- for obtaining sanction of the Government. A modified estimate amounting Rs14315/- was got up providing all works noted in the inspection note. In addition, the loss on account of dismantling the structure already built, not useful as per this design costing Rs610/-. Contingent Rs745/-. Establishment and Tools and Plant Rs1490/- were also provided being very essential. Thus the total cost worked out Rs17160/- against the modified estimate of Rs21935/- in January 23. The Dharmadarsies have agreed to the present plan and the resolution passed by them is also received and recorded.

This revised project estimate was returned with certain observations vide Chief Engineer's No.11751 dated 19-9-25, and it is now modified

ified and submitted with a comparative statement after rectifica-
tion of the several items.

The Deputy Commissioner has intimated in his D.O.No.1084 dated 6-9-08 that the amount realised during Jatra of 1908 is Rs.nil/-.

Executive Engineer,

Tuebur Division.

GEN. SECTT. - NOV 1925 - AGRIL. MUZRAI D

Office of the Chief Engineer of Mysore,

No. S306 Dated Camp Mysore, 6th November 1925.

From

John Bhore, Esq., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,

Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

GEN. SECTT. Dn. Irrig 7. NOV. 1925 G. D. SECTION.

To

B. Sreeniv sa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Improvements to Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Kyamanhalli, Koratagere Sub Taluk.

Sir,

With reference to this office letter No.4007 dated the 10th October 1925, forwarding, for sanction, a revised estimate amounting to Rs17,116 for improving the above temple, I have the honour to inform you that it is reported by the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division, that the contractor who was entrusted with the work has been repeatedly representing that he is being put to heavy loss owing to the stoppage of the work for want of sanction to the revised estimate and prays that orders to resume work may be given in anticipation of sanction to the estimate. I therefore request you to be so good as to let me know whether the Executive Engineer may be authorised to resume work as per provisions made in the revised estimate.

2. The favour of a very early reply is requested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Subd
As the estimate was sanctioned on 26-11-25, no action on this letter is called for. It will be placed in the file-
Rd 21/12/25

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.

the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

C.O. No. 284-74 Muz.300-17-4 dated 18-7-19 sanctioning an estimate for Rs. 5869/- for effecting certain improvements to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Kyamenahalli, Kortagere Sub-Taluk.

2. G.O. No. 4476-8/Muz. 666-19-10 dated 10-3-1922 sanctioning a revised estimate for Rs. ~~8077~~ 8077/- for the above work, the cost being met from the funds at the credit of the institution.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. 4007 dated 10-10-25 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore submitting for sanction a further revised estimate for Rs. ~~15,560~~ 17,116/- for the reconstruction of the temple, and stating that the charges on account of establishment, tools & plant may be omitted as per G.O. No. 1591-4 Misc. 286-24-1 dated 4-5-25, the actual outlay is only Rs. 15,560-

Order No. 64-1-5 dated [illegible]

Excluding ~~and again~~ the establishment tools and plant charges, the cost of the estimate ~~reduces itself~~ amounting to Rs. 15,560/- ~~which~~ is proposed to be met as follows:-

1. Amount already sanctioned from the temple funds.	Rs.	8080/-
2. ~~From~~ From the interest accruing of the Genl. Muz. Funds	"	3000/-
3. From the funds at the credit of the institution	"	4481/-
Total	Rs.	15560/-

SD 24/11/25

Government are pleased to sanction the revised estimate for Rs. 15.560/- the cost being met as proposed. The contribution of Rs. 3000/- out of the interest earnings of the Cenl. Muz. Fund will be made out of Rs. 10,000/- allotted during the current year for the repairs of Muz. Institutions ~~out of the above source~~. The work should be carried out expeditiously by the P W. D

The estimate forRs. 8079/- is cancelled.

Revenue Secretary.

To

The Ch. Engineer of Mysore (with the sanctioned estimate and other enclosures)

The Curial Commr. in Mysore (with a copy of the abstract estimate)

The Comptroller to Govt. (with a copy of the abstract estimate)

Modified

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATE FOR

Muz 50] the construction of Sree Anjaneyaswamy temple at Kyamenahalli.

Koratagere Sub-Taluk.

Particulars	Per	Rate	Quantity	Cost	
GARBHAGUDI.					
1. Earthwork excavation &c.	cyd	4	43	11-0-0	
2. Stone in mortar courset roable work done.	cft	4 6	876	246-0-0	
3. do basement & superstructure	"	5 6	956	329-0-0	
4. Burnt stone slabs finely dressed & fixed 6" thick.	sft	10	216	135-0-0	
5. Burnt stone lintel with cornice work &c. 9"	"	1 - -	24	24-0-0	
6. Cut stone frame with ornamental work &c.	rft	2 - -	29	58-0-0	
7, Teakwood ornamental door brass knobs &c.	sft	5 - -	32	160-0-0	
8. Flooring with burnt stone slabs over 6" concrete & cement pointing &c	sqr	50 - -	1.0	50-0-0	
9. Chunnam plastering.	"	3 12	11.50	43-0-0	
10. Constructing cistern with burnt stone slabs inlet & outlet pipes &c.		L.	S.	20-0-0	
11. Providing shelf with pegs fixing iron rings for hanging bells lights &c.		L.	S.	60-0-0	
12. Forming base of Gopuram with diagonal armound concrete or steel pillars, masonry &c...		L.	S.	250-0-0	
13. Constructing a Gopuram ornamental (omitting portions)		L.	S.	2000-0-0	
14. Other sundry and unforeseen works.		L.	S.	164-0-0	
					3,550
MAIN BUILDING NAVARANGA.					
1. Earthwork excavation &c.	cyd	4	61	15-0-0	
2. Stone in mortar coursed roatle	cft	4 6	1537	432-0-0	
3. Dressed stone im mortar basement and outerwalls	cft	6	1386	520-0-0	
4. Stone in mortar interior walls superstructure	"	5 6	665	229-0-0	
5. Burnt stone slabs finely dressed 6" thick	sft	10	499	312-0-0	
6. do rough	"	9	263	148-0-0	
7. Cut stone pillars with ornamental base, caps, figure work &c.	No.	80	6	480-0-0	
8. Cut stone beams finely dressed.	rft	3	58	174-0-0	
9. Lovey cornice alround	"	4	119	476-0-0	
10. Roofing with burnt stone slabs finely dressed bottom 6 to 9" thick and fixed.	sft	12	1075	806-0-0	
11. Concrete filling over roof including plastering.	cft	5	580	181-0-0	
12. Burnt brick in mortar parapet wall Kottey &c.	rft	2	119	238-0-0	
13. Flooring with Kadapah slabs 1½" to 2" thick over 6" concrete and cement pointing.	sqr	38	9.20	350-0-0	
14. Teak wood door temple pattern with brass knobs &c. (5" thick)	sft	5 4	81	425-0-0	

Sanctioned for Rs.

No dated in Govt.Pros.

WD 0611 GPB 250-3622

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATE FOR

Muz 50].

Particulars	Per	Rate	Quantity	Cost	
15. Teakwood windows with battened shutters iron bars &c. (2" thick)	sft	4	81	324-0-0	
16. Chunam plastering.	sqr	312	19.20	72-0-0	
17. Cement pointing	"	6	12.36	74-0-0	
18. Providing wall-shelves with pegs fixing iron rings &c.			S.	50-0-0	
19. White washing		5	10.2	6-0-0	
20. Sundry & unforeseen charges.		L.	S.	150-0-0	
21. Works ordered by Deputy Commissioner to be dismantled (vide No. O/c 1318/23-24 dated 29-1-24)		L.	S.	100-0-0	5562
CONSTRUCTION OF OUT-HOUSES. 1 block					
1. Earthwork excavation.	cyd	4	28	7-0-0	
2. Burnt stone in clay	cft	4	832	208-0-0	
3. do slabs dressed	sft	10	266	166-0-0	
4. do beams finely dressed	rft	2 4	44	99-0-0	
5. Burnt brick in clay	cft	3 6	1060	232-0-0	
6. Teakwood panelled doors	sft	2 8	36	90-0-0	
7 do windows	'	3 4	41	133-0-0	
8. do wall shelves	'	2 8	27	68-0-0	
9. Chunnam plastering	sqr	312	14.9	56-0-0	
10. White & colour washing	'	5	14.9	5-0-0	
11. Cement pointing.	"	6	24	8-0-0	
12. Roofing with burnt stone slabs 6 to 9" thick dressed	'	50	3.78	189-0-0	
13. Concrete filling overleaf	"	5	168	53-0-0	
14. Burnt brick in mortar parapet with kotta tenney plastering.	rft	2	74	148-0-0	
15. Flooring with Langalore tiles 3" concrete & cement pointing.	sqr	38	1.08	41-0-0	
16. Flooring with Kadapah slabs over 3" concrete &c.	"	38	1.08	41-0-0	
17. Other sundry & unforeseen works.		L.	S.	56-0-0	1600
Total out-houses 2 blocks		...		...	3200
CONSTRUCTING PRAKARM ENCLOSURE WALL					
1. Earthwork excavation.	cyd	4	81	20-0-0	
2. Stone in clay	cft	4	2832	708-0-0	
3. Burnt brick in mortar	"	5 6	777	267-0-0	
4. do cornice	rft	1 4	59	74-0-0	
5. do parapet with kotta tenney &c.	'	2	59	118-0-0	
6. do clay	cft	3 6	1138	249-0-0	
7. Chunnam plastering	sqr	312	32.67	124-0-0	
8. White & colour washing.	'	5	33.0	10-0-0	
9. Providing ornamental pillars for frontage.		L.	S.	200-0-0	
10. Providing gate way for main entrance		L.	S.	100-0-0	
11. Repairs to parapet wall & sundries		L.	S.	25-0-0	1895

Sanctioned for Rs.

No dated in Govt.Pros.

WD 0611 GPB 250-3822

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATE FOR

Muz 50].

Particulars		Per	Rate	Quantity	Cost	
Work done as per sanctioned design but not useful.						
Navaranga	Excavation	cyd	4	13	3-0-0	
	Stone in clay	cft	3 6	262	57-0-0	
Prakaram wall	Excavation	cyd	4	43	11-0-0	
	Stone in clay	cft	3 6	1170	256-0-0	
	Brick in mortar	"	4 6	34	10-0-0	
Out-houses	Excavation	cyd	4	49	12-0-0	
	Stone in clay	cft	3 6	1689	370-0-0	
	Burnt stone slabs	sft	8	320	160-0-0	
	Burnt brick in mud	cft	3 6	660	145-0-0	
	Filling in trenches		L. S.		20-0-0	
	Fixing & removing doors &c		L. S.		15-0-0	
Garbhagudi	Temporary door		L. S.		20-0-0	
	Total work done					1079
Deduct value of materials.						
Burnt size stones		cft	2	1553	194-0-0	
Burnt stone slabs		sft	5	320	100-0-0	
Brick bats		cft	1	330	21-0-0	
Old materials from dismantled structure.			S.		150-0-0	
	Total				465-0-0	
Balanceloss of labour &c.						614
	Total					14,821
	Contingencies					739
Establishment charges 10½%						1,556
	GRAND TOTAL					17,116

Amount of sanctioned estimate Rs. 8,079.

* C O P Y *

Supdt, Nilagiri Branch.

Sanctioned for Rs. 89

No dated in Govt.Pros.

WD 0611 GPB 250 3822